

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,354	07/14/2005	Mitsuru Naito	OGW-0375	2703
Patrick G. Bur	7590 09/30/200 ns - Greer, Burns & Cr	EXAMINER		
300 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2500			KOTTER, KIP T	
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) NAITO ET AL. 10/542,354 Examiner Art Unit KIP T. KOTTER 3617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO per	rsonnel):				
(1) <u>KIP T. KOTTER</u> .	(3) <u>PATRICK G. BURNS</u> .				
(2) <u>RUSSELL STORMER</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 25 September 2008.					
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) □	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e) If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 12</u> .					
ldentification of prior art discussed: Rivin, Glinz et al., Akiyoshi et al. and Osada et al.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□	was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the prior art references noted above. We made a suggestion to include claim language directed to the purality of annular body pieces comprising first annular body pieces having leg portions that protrude outwardly and second adjacent annular body pieces having leg portions that protrude inwardly.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713,04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617